BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

2 In the Matter of

MEENOR SAGAR, M.D.

Holder of License No. 40769 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona Case No. MD-20-0554A

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

At its public meeting on February 4, 2022 the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered Meenor Sagar, M.D.'s ("Respondent") Request for Rehearing or Review of the Board's Order dated December 1, 2021 in the above referenced matter. After considering all of the evidence, the Board voted to deny Respondent's Request for Rehearing or Review.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Respondent's Request for Rehearing or Review is denied. The Board's December 1, 2021 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Letter of Reprimand in Case MD-20-0554A is effective and constitutes the Board's final administrative order.

RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

Respondent is hereby notified that he has exhausted his administrative remedies. Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be taken from this decision pursuant to title 12, chapter 7, and article 6 of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this _____ day of ______, 2022.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By Patricia E. McSorley
Executive Director

EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this graded day of flowled, 2022 to:

Meenor Sagar, M.D. Address of Record

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

T. Scott King, Esq.

Broening, Oberg Woods & Wilson, P.C.

2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorney for Respondent

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed

this 890 day of Jehmany, 2022 with:

Arizona Medical Board

1740 West Adams, Suite 4000

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Michelle Robles

Board stan

BEFORE THE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

MEENOR SAGAR, M.D.

Holder of License No. 40769 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona. Case No. MD-20-0554A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR LETTER OF REPRIMAND

The Review Committee of the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on October 25, 2021. Meenor Sagar, M.D. ("Respondent"), appeared with legal counsel, T. Scott King, Esq., before the Review Committee for a Formal Interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(P). The Review Committee voted to issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Letter of Reprimand and Probation after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 40769 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-20-0554A after receiving notification of a malpractice settlement regarding Respondent's care and treatment of a 76 year-old male patient ("RS") alleging bleeding complications following a CT-guided percutaneous core biopsy resulting in intrapulmonary hemorrhage and death.
- 4. On November 9, 2017, RS presented to the Hospital for a CT guided percutaneous core biopsy of a lesion in the left upper lobe of his lung performed by Respondent. RS's pre-operative lab results showed an elevated white blood cell count, low hematocrit, and platelet count of 36,000. After the procedure, RS began experiencing

hemoptysis, with initial blood loss documented at over 300ml. Rapid response was initiated and RS was transferred to the emergency department, where he continued to decompensate, developing a pulmonary hemorrhage in the left upper lobe surrounding the nodule, which expanded into the left lung base and lower lobe bronchi and airspace as well as a right pneumothorax. RS ultimately coded and was unable to be resuscitated.

- 5. The standard of care requires a physician to delay an elective procedure in a patient with a low platelet level/thrombocytopenia. Respondent deviated from this standard of care by performing a CT guided core biopsy of a left lung lesion in a patient with a low platelet count.
- 6. The standard of care requires a physician to perform a CT guided core biopsy be performed at a facility with adequate support services in the event of complications. Respondent deviated from the standard of care by failing to direct CT guided core biopsy be performed at a facility with adequate support services in the event of complications.
- 7. Actual patient harm was identified in that the patient experienced excessive bleeding post CT guided core biopsy and expired.
- 8. During the course of the Board's investigation, Respondent failed to supply a narrative for Board staff and Medical Consultant ("MC") review.
- 9. During a Formal Interview on this matter, Respondent testified regarding his care and treatment of Patient RS. Respondent stated that he was unaware that RS's platelet count was low, and that the pre-operative nurse failed to inform him prior to the procedure. With regard to the failure to provide a narrative during the investigative process, Respondent stated that the response to the Board was handled by his practice's risk management department without consultation by him. Respondent acknowledged that

the Board's investigation is a serious matter and stated that he takes patient safety very seriously.

10. During that same Formal Interview, Review Committee members commented that a fundamental step in the process of patient care was omitted, and agreed that discipline was warranted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r) ("Committing any conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public.").
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(ee) ("Failing to furnish information in a timely manner to the board or the board's investigators or representatives if legally requested by the board.").

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

Respondent is hereby notified that he/she has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

1	Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is
2	required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.
3	DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 159 day of December, 2021.
4	
5	ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD
6	By Fuha & McSorley Patricia E. McSorley
7	Patricia E. McSorley Executive Director
8	
9	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed
10	this 15 day of Ne Cember, 2021 to:
11	T. Scott King, Esq.
12	Broening Oberg Woods & Wilson, P.C. 2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for Respondent
14	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 15th day of 12e a mba, 2021 with:
15	this 15° day of 120 m/ 20 , 2021 with:
16	Arizona Medical Board 1740 West Adams, Suite 4000
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
18	
19	Middle Robles Board staff
20	Board staπ
21	
22	
23	