



1 **RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT**

2 Respondent is hereby notified that he has exhausted his administrative remedies.  
3 Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be taken  
4 from this decision pursuant to title 12, chapter 7, article 6 of the Arizona Revised Statutes  
5 within thirty-five (35) days from the date this decision is served.

6 DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023.

7 ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

8  
9  
10 By Patricia E. McSorley  
11 Patricia E. McSorley  
Executive Director

12 EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed via  
13 US and Certified Mail  
14 this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023 to:

15 David G. Lawson, M.D.  
Address of Record

16 Sara Stark  
17 5425 E. Bell Road, Suite 107  
18 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254  
Attorney for Respondent

19 ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed  
20 this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2023 with:

21 Arizona Medical Board  
22 1740 West Adams, Suite 4000  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

23 Michelle Pobles  
24 Board staff

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD**

2 In the Matter of

Case No.22A-23145-MDX

3 **DAVID G. LAWSON, M.D.,**

**FINDINGS OF FACT,  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER  
(Revocation)**

4 Holder of License No. 23145  
5 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine  
6 In the State of Arizona.

7 On March 1, 2023, this matter came before the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") for  
8 consideration of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Tammy L. Eigenheer's proposed  
9 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order. David G. Lawson, M.D.,  
10 ("Respondent") was present and represented by Sara Stark Esq.; Assistant Attorney  
11 General Carrie Smith represented the State. Assistant Attorney General Ben Norris was  
12 available to provide independent legal advice to the Board.

13 The Board, having considered the ALJ's Decision and the entire record in this  
14 matter, hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

15 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

16 1. The Arizona Medical Board (Board) is the authority for the regulation and  
17 control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

18 2. David G. Lawson, M.D., (Respondent) is the holder of License No. 23145 for  
19 the practice of allopathic medicine in Arizona.

20 3. On or about September 14, 2022, the Board issued a Complaint and Notice  
21 of Hearing to Respondent alleging Respondent had engaged in unprofessional conduct  
22 pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e) ("[f]ailing or refusing to maintain adequate records on  
23 a patient"); A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r) ("[c]omitting any conduct or practice that is or might be  
24 harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public"); A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(s)  
25 ("[v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered  
into by the board or its executive director under this chapter"); and A.R.S. § 32-  
1401(27)(ee) ("[f]ailing to furnish information in a timely manner to the board or the board's  
investigators or representatives if legally requested by the board.").

1 **MD-18-1070A**

2 4. Effective August 8, 2014, the Board issued a Decree of Censure and  
3 Probation and Consent to the Same (Board Order) in MD-13-1115A. The probation terms  
4 and conditions included in the Board Order required that Dr. Lawson enter into a contract  
5 with a Board-approved monitoring company for the performance of quarterly chart reviews  
6 to commence after completion of Category I Continuing Medical Education (CME) course  
in controlled substance prescribing.

7 5. The Board initiated case number MD-18-1070A after receiving notification  
8 that Dr. Lawson was non-compliant with his chart monitoring requirement found in the  
Board Order.

9 6. On or about September 5, 2014, Dr. Lawson entered into a contract with a  
10 Board-approved Monitoring Company (First Monitor) and completed the CME on January  
11 26-28, 2015.

12 7. Dr. Lawson failed to make timely payment to the First Monitor, and despite  
13 multiple extensions, his contract with the First Monitor was terminated on March 13, 2017.  
14 At the time the contract was terminated, Dr. Lawson had a balance of \$3,162.30. His initial  
15 contract retainer of \$1,488.00 was applied to the contract balance leaving an outstanding  
16 balance of \$1,674.50 owed by Dr. Lawson. Dr. Lawson eventually paid the remaining  
balance, but his contract was not reinstated.

17 8. On or about August 29, 2017, Dr. Lawson entered into monitoring with  
another Board-approved Monitoring Company (Second Monitor).

18 9. On or about October 3, 2018, the Second Monitor notified Board staff that Dr.  
19 Lawson was non-compliant with his Board Order. The Second Monitor reported that Dr.  
20 Lawson failed to provide his patient schedules for two reviews, failed to remit payment, and  
21 was non-responsive to the Second Monitor's request for contact.

22 10. Dr. Lawson subsequently brought his account with the Second Monitor  
23 current for the second quarterly chart review, but the review was never completed due to  
Dr. Lawson's failure to submit the appropriate records for the review.

24 11. Dr. Lawson later requested termination of his Board Order, but had failed to  
25 complete the appropriate number of quarterly chart reviews.

1           12. The Second Monitor's review of care provided in March/April 2018 noted that  
2 Dr. Lawson provided 9 of 10 charts requested by the Second Monitor. The Second  
3 Monitor's report noted that Dr. Lawson failed to meet generally accepted standard in 7 of 9  
4 charts. Specifically, Dr. Lawson's documentation was incomplete in 3 of 9 charts and failed  
5 to substantiate a clinical course and treatment in 4 of 9 charts; there was no assessment of  
6 pain in 7 of 9 charts; inappropriate indications for controlled substances in 7 of 9 charts,  
7 some of those indications were no risk assessments and no treatment goals identified;  
8 failure to obtain appropriate referrals in 7 of 9 charts; inappropriate choice and dose of  
9 controlled substance in 7 of 9 cases; inadequate Controlled Substance Prescription  
10 Monitoring Profile (CSPMP) monitoring in 6 of 9 cases; prescribing greater than 90  
morphine equivalent dosage (MED) in 6 of 9 charts, and 2 patients were prescribed opioid  
and benzodiazepine concurrently with no appropriate plan or rationale.

11           13. Based on the above findings, Dr. Lawson entered into an Interim Consent  
12 Agreement for Practice Restriction prohibiting him from prescribing controlled substances  
13 in the State of Arizona, effective March 6, 2020.

14           14. After signing the Interim Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction, Dr.  
15 Lawson sent a letter to the Board's Executive Director requesting this clarification: Does  
16 the practice restriction prevent me from prescribing just opioids or "any scheduled  
medications"?

17           15. On or about March 11, 2020, a Board compliance officer sent an email to Dr.  
18 Lawson informing him: "All the substances you mentioned in your letter [to the Board's  
19 Executive Director] are controlled. You are restricted from prescribing any controlled  
20 substance. If you prescribe any controlled substance you will be in violation of the Interim  
Consent Agreement and will be scheduled for a Summary Suspension."

21           16. On or about March 16, 2020, contrary to the clarification he received from the  
22 Board about prescribing controlled substances, Dr. Lawson wrote one prescription for the  
23 controlled substance Lyrica 100 mg, 30 day supply with 3 refills to patient LH in violation of  
his practice restriction.

1           17.    Based on concerns raised by the Second Monitor's review of care provided in  
2 March/April 2018, Board staff requested that a Medical Consultant (MC) review three  
3 patient charts (SB, SW and JJ).

4           18.    SB was a 63 year-old female with complaints of low back pain and chronic  
5 cough. Dr. Lawson prescribed SB medications including oxycodone 15 mg every six hours,  
6 as needed, and promethazine with codeine syrup 5 ml every 6 hours, as needed.

7           19.    SW was a 57 year-old male with low back pain, diabetes mellitus type 2,  
8 seizure disorder, osteoarthritis, and bipolar disorder. Dr. Lawson prescribed SW  
9 medications including Prozac 20 mg twice daily, OxyContin 80 mg 1 tablet in the a.m.,  
10 OxyContin 80 mg 2 tablets in pm, oxycodone 15 mg every four hours, as needed, and  
11 clonazepam 2 mg daily. SW also had a medical marijuana card recommended by Dr.  
12 Lawson.

13           20.    JJ was a 50 year-old female with rheumatoid arthritis, fibromyalgia, attention  
14 deficit disorder, obstructive sleep apnea, morbid obesity, depression osteoarthritis, asthma,  
15 COPD, and chronic respiratory failure. Dr. Lawson prescribed JJ medications including  
16 Ritalin 20 mg three times daily, oxycodone 20 mg every four hours, as needed, zolpidem  
17 10 mg at bedtime. During the course of Dr. Lawson's treatment of JJ, JJ had urinary drug  
18 screen results that were positive for fentanyl and negative for prescribed zolpidem and  
19 Ritalin.

20           21.    The MC identified deviations from the standard of care due to Dr. Lawson's  
21 treatment of SB, SW and JJ including by prescribing high dose opioids without clinical  
22 justification, by prescribing opioids and other controlled substances concurrently without a  
23 clinical rationale, by failing to appropriately monitor for compliance or address aberrant  
24 behavior such as chronic early refills or abnormal urine drug screen results.

25           22.    Dr. Lawson caused actual harm in that Patient JJ experienced ongoing opioid  
abuse and worsening hypoxic respiratory failure. There was potential for patient harm in  
that all the patients were at risk of overdose, abuse, diversion, and death.

1 **MD-18-1197A**

2 23. The Board initiated case number MD-18-1197A after receiving a complaint  
3 regarding Dr. Lawson care and treatment of a 35 year-old male patient (JE) alleging  
4 inappropriate prescribing.

5 24. Dr. Lawson received notification of the complaint and Board staff directed him  
6 to provide a response to the complaint. Dr. Lawson failed to timely respond to the  
7 complaint which prompted Board staff to notify him of this failure.

8 25. Based on the complaint, Board staff requested that a MC review Dr.  
9 Lawson's care and treatment of JE and two other patients (CS and SK).

10 26. JE was a 32 year-old male that established care with Dr. Lawson in 2015.  
11 JE's medical history included hypertension, temporomandibular joint (TMJ) pain, chronic  
12 chest wall pain with recurrent cartilage dislocation, and pain from a past hand surgery. Dr.  
13 Lawson prescribed JE medications including oxycodone 30 mg every four hours,  
14 trazodone 100 mg 2 tablets at bedtime, amlodipine 50 mg daily, and clonidine 0.1 mg  
15 every six hours, as needed.

16 27. CS was a 54 year-old female who established care with Dr. Lawson in 2013.  
17 CS's medical history included adult attention deficit disorder (ADD), depression, anxiety,  
18 migraine, low back pain, and dental problems resulting from dry mouth. Dr. Lawson  
19 prescribed CS medications including Norco 7.5/325 mg every six hours as needed,  
20 Adderall 20 mg three times daily, and Xanax 1 mg three times daily. In February 2019, Dr.  
21 Lawson filled out a medical marijuana certificate at CS's request.

22 28. SK was a 54 year-old female with a past medical history of diabetes, COPD,  
23 hypertension, post-traumatic stress disorder, hyperlipidemia, diabetic polyneuropathy, and  
24 obstructive sleep apnea, who established care with Dr. Lawson in January 2013. At that  
25 time SK was taking 40 mg of Methadone (4x 10 mg tablets) four times a day (#480 per  
month) for a diagnosis of fibromyalgia syndrome. Dr. Lawson continued prescribing  
Methadone at the same dosage. Adderall was added by a sleep specialist in November  
2015, for daytime hyper somnolence. In July 2018, SK was seen by a psychiatrist who  
advised tapering the Methadone.





1 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter in this  
3 case.

4 2. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G)(2) and A.A.C. R2-19-119(B), the Board  
5 has the burden of proof in this matter. The standard of proof is by clear and convincing  
6 evidence. A.R.S. § 32-1451.04.

7 3. The legislature created the Board to protect the public. See Laws 1992, Ch.  
8 316, § 10.

9 4. A.R.S. 32-1401(2) provides that

10 "Adequate records" means legible medical records, produced by hand or  
11 electronically, containing, at a minimum, sufficient information to identify the  
12 patient, support the diagnosis, justify the treatment, accurately document the  
13 results, indicate advice and cautionary warnings provided to the patient and  
14 provide sufficient information for another practitioner to assume continuity of  
15 the patient's care at any point in the course of treatment.

16 5. The weight of the evidence presented established by clear and convincing  
17 evidence that Respondent's patient records were incomplete and inadequate as noted  
18 above. The conclusions of the Second Monitor and the MC both demonstrated that  
19 Respondent failed to maintain adequate records even after attending a CME focused on  
20 patient records.

21 6. The weight of the evidence presented established by clear and convincing  
22 evidence that Respondent's treatment of the patients outlined *supra* failed to meet the  
23 standard of care. Respondent repeatedly prescribed medications without clinical  
24 justification or rationale and failed to monitor for compliance with medication use.

25 7. The weight of the evidence presented established by clear and convincing  
evidence that Respondent issued a prescription for a controlled substance after entering  
into the Interim Consent Agreement for Practice Restriction.

8. The weight of the evidence presented established by clear and convincing  
evidence that Respondent failed to respond to Board staff request for information when  
requested.

1 9. Therefore, the Board established that Respondent's conduct constituted  
2 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(e) in that he failed or refused to  
3 maintain adequate records for his patients as defined by A.R.S. § 32-1402(2).

4 10. Further, the Board established that Respondent's conduct constituted  
5 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r) in that he committed any  
6 conduct or practice that was or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient  
7 or the public.

8 11. Additionally, the Board established that Respondent's conduct constituted  
9 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(s) in that he violated the Board  
10 Order.

11 12. Finally, the Board established that Respondent's conduct constituted  
12 unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(ee) in that Respondent's failed to  
13 furnish information in a timely manner to the Board or the Board's investigators when  
14 legally requested by the Board.

15 13. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(U), Respondent's prior non-disciplinary history  
16 may be considered in determining the appropriate discipline to be imposed.

17 14. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(M), "[t]he board may charge the costs of  
18 formal hearings to the licensee who it finds to be in violation of this chapter."

19 **ORDER**

20 Based on the foregoing, it is **ORDERED** revoking David G. Lawson, M.D.'s License  
21 No. 23145 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

22 It is further ordered that, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1451(M), David G. Lawson, M.D.  
23 be charged the cost of the formal hearing as determined by the Board. Dr. Lawson shall  
24 pay the Board \$2752.36, by certified funds, within 90 days of the effective date of this  
25 Order.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW**

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

**DATED** this 2nd day of March 2023.

THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By Pat E. McSorley  
Patricia E. McSorley  
Executive Director

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 2nd day of March, 2023 with:

Arizona Medical Board  
1740 W. Adams, Suite 4000  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY of the foregoing filed  
this 2nd day of March 2023 with:

Greg Hanchett, Director  
Office of Administrative Hearings  
1740 W. Adams  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Executed copy of the foregoing  
mailed by U.S. Mail and emailed  
this 2nd day of March, 2023 to:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

David G. Lawson, M.D.  
Address of Record

Sara Stark, Esq.  
CHELLE LAW PLC  
5425 E Bell Rd, Suite 107  
Scottsdale, AZ 85254  
Sara.Stark@chellelaw.com  
Attorney for Respondent

Carrie H. Smith  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
SGD/LES  
2005 N. Central Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

By: Michelle Probus  
Arizona Medical Board

# 10981708